Theophrastus’s “Anaisthetos” (“The Obtuse Man”): the oldest “phenomenological” description of neurodevelopmental disorders in an adult
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ABSTRACT

Theophrastus (381–278 B.C.), was the first to adopt the term character for the description of distinct inner psychological and moral features of an individual. In his classic book ‘Characters’ in a simple style wording he analyses some of his contemporary individuals. The character “Αναίσθητος” (Anaisthetos) has been translated in English as “The Obtuse Man” and in Modern Greek both as “Αναίσθητος”, meaning lack of appreciation and “Βραδύνους”, meaning slowness of mind. Theophrastus’ description of this particular character is compatible with the co-occurrence in an adult of two neurodevelopmental disorders: attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD) and social pragmatic communication disorder (SPCD), according to the 5th Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders. Ten out of the twelve statements support the possible occurrence of ADHD while five of them the occurrence of SPCD. The description does not justify a diagnosis of an intellectual disability; therefore, we consider that in the Modern Greek vocabulary the original term «Αναίσθητος» (“Anaisthetos”), meaning lack of appreciation, more accurately corresponds to this particular Theophrastus’s character than “Βραδύνους” (“Obtuse”), which indicates the presence of low intellectual capacities. Also, the lack of repetitive and restrictive interests and behaviors excludes autism spectrum disorder as a possible diagnosis. Minor psychiatric disorders and traits according to the Peripatetic School were attributed to the lack of rational control over appetites and behavior. Accordingly, «Αναίσθητος» is a phenomenological description of a stigmatizing inappropriate social behavior and the only help that might give is in increasing self-awareness. In our contemporary clinical practice, though, the early recognition and appropriate treatment of neurodevelopmental disorders in affected individuals leads to better clinical care and may diminish stigmatization. The co-existence of these two disorders in a character in such a different historical context reinforces their validity as diagnostic constructs and provides an example of the co-occurrence of neurodevelopmental disorders.
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Introduction

Neurodevelopmental Disorders

According to DSM-51 and ICD-112 neurodevelopmental disorders (ND) are a group of conditions with onset in the developmental period. The disorders typically manifest early in development, often before the child enters grade school, and are characterized by developmental deficits that produce impairments of personal, social, academic, or occupational functioning. The range of developmental deficits varies from very specific limitations of learning or control of executive functions to global impairments of social skills or intelligence. The most prevalent are intellectual disability (ID), autism spectrum disorder (ASD), attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder
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(ADHD), and communication disorders (CD). ID includes both intellectual and adaptive functioning deficits in conceptual, social, and practical domains. ASD is characterized by persistent deficits in social communication and social interaction across multiple contexts together with a restricted repetitive pattern of behavior, interests, or activities. Symptoms of ADHD include a persistent pattern of inattention and/or hyperactivity/impulsivity while CD is related to deficits in language, speech, and communication. Social pragmatic communication disorder (SPCD) is classified in DSM-5 as a discrete diagnostic category among CD. It is manifested by deficits in using communication for social purposes, impairment of the ability to change communication to match context or the needs of the listener, difficulties following rules for conversation and story-telling, and difficulties in understanding what is not explicitly stated.

Frequently NDs co-occur. Most of the symptoms are present throughout life and their appearance depends on a person’s developmental stage. There is a significant unmet clinical and research need though, to understand the persistence into adulthood. Nevertheless, in the last decade, awareness of NDs, especially for ADHD and ASD, has significantly increased. This is attributed to clinical, financial, educational, and political influences, stigma reduction, and the increasing knowledge of treatment efficacy.

Historical perspectives

Historical references help us understand the evolution and validity of nosological concepts.

In the medical literature, the roots of the concept of intellectual development are attributed to Etienne Jean Georget (1795–1826) who wrote that “Idiocy” is a lack of development of intellectual faculties. Within the larger group of idiots, he included a subtype of “imbeciles” with higher cognitive abilities. Esquirol stated that idiocy was not an illness, but a condition in which intellectual faculties could not achieve sufficient development. He also distinguished imbecility from the severe intellectual impairment of idiocy. Although Autism was first described in the medical literature by Kanner and Asperger in the middle of the 20th century, medical descriptions that separate ASD from ID was reported much earlier. In a series of lectures, Down JL described 10 cases of “savant idiots” with exceptional abilities in a narrow field that could now be considered as exhibiting restrictive and repetitive patterns of behavior or activities.

SPCD is a newly recognized diagnosis. Although it has been supported that it is a dimensional symptom profile that may be evident across a range of ND, it is now included as a distinct disorder in both DSM-5 and ICD-11.

Descriptions of ADHD as a disorder are presented in the medical literature of the last two centuries. Weikard first published 1775 descriptions of attention deficit as a medical condition.

Attempts to find examples of behavioral problems in historical accounts akin to those currently identified as ADHD have been attempted by many clinicians and historians. Recently, (Victor et al, 2018), compared Theophrastus’ descriptions of “The Obtuse Man” («Αναίσθητος») to the DSM-5 ADHD symptoms and considered them as the oldest description compatible with the current conception of ADHD in adults. In the present work, we suggest that this character also possesses symptoms that may be attributed to another neurodevelopmental disorder, namely SCPD.

Theophrastus

Theophrastus was born in the island of Lesbos (371 B.C.). The main source for his life comes from Diogenes Laertious’ work “Lives of Philosophers” written during the third century C.E., i.e., 600 years after Theophrastus’ birth date. His name was Tyrtamus and he moved to Athens to pursue an education at Plato’s Academy. He was fourteen years younger than Aristotle and in the Academy, the two men built a strong intellectual partnership. After Plato died in 347 B.C., Theophrastus witnessed Aristotle’s scientific methodology and his approach to data collection and classification in various scientific studies in Assos, a town opposite the island of Lesbos. In 335 B.C. Aristotle established in Athens a philosophical school known as “Peripatos” (“Walk”) named after Aristotle’s habit to walk up and down while discussing philosophy. Theophrastus was a member of the Peripatetic school and Aristotle changed his name from Tyrtamus to Theophrastus which means “he who expresses himself like a god” because of his eloquence. When Aristotle left the city of Athens and withdrew to Chalcis on the island of Euboea in 323 B.C., he indicated Theophrastus to succeed him as head of Peripatos. Under Theophrastus’ leadership, the school grew as a preeminent teaching institute. His stewardship of the philosophical school lasted thirty-six years, a period almost three times longer than that of Aristotle’s leadership. He produced over two hundred works of varying lengths over a diverse range of subjects. Unfortunately only the titles of the majority of Theophrastus’ works remain. He has a reputation as the founding father of botanical science because his works are considered excellent in scientific and research classification. The surviving scientific works of Theophrastus are indicative of the objectives of the Peripatos, which were to classify and study a wide range of natural phenomena.
Theophrastus' Characters

In his work "Characters" Theophrastus describes internal psychological features related to moral types. "Charactir- χαρακτήρ" meaning "engraving" comes from an instrument that engraves in wood, marble, or copper letters or signs. The objects over which letters or signs have been engraved differ from other objects that have not been engraved and do not possess these letters or signs. Character is synonymous with the notion of distinct features, and traits. Theophrastus is the first to use the metaphorical meaning for internal traits, psychic or moral, among different people.19

In "Characters" he follows a specific methodology that contains traces of the Peripatetic methodology applied across different disciplines. The emphasis is on classification and differentiation which means grouping certain shared attributes. Each character portrait is representative of a type that can be differentiated by their distinctive appearance, attributes, manner of speech, and modes of behavior. His interest is in the comic distortion of the behavior of the characters that are out of social norms. He uses the notions of excess and deficiency relative to a particular virtue. Aristotle developed the analysis of individual virtues and vices but he indicates, in abstract and general terms, the circumstances or behavior which are associated with each virtue and vice. Instead of an abstract circumstance, Theophrastus gives us a real occasion, and instead of an anonymous agent, a real individual. He locates his characters in a specific time and place. The time is the late fourth century. The place is Athens. And it is in Athens whose daily life he recreates for us in dozens of dramatic pictures and incidents. Such scenes and such people, cannot be found elsewhere.20

Diogenes Laertius cites Characters twice, as "ethikoi charactires" and as "characters ethikoi". It is supposed that this is because of different copies of the work in circulation. In general, the title and the text betray evidence of interference from others. Thirty Characters are described. The first edition (1527) included 15 characters and it was only in 1786 that all 30 characters were discovered.20,21

«Αναίσθητος» ("Anaisthetos", "The Obtuse Man")

The character «Αναίσθητος» (Anaisthetos) is the 14th of the thirty characters and has been translated into English as "the Obtuse Man". The definition though "Obtuseness may be defined as slowness of mind in speech and action" is considered spurious and interference from others is evident. One might claim that the «Αναίσθητος» ("The Obtuse Man"), by his speech and behavior, shows that he is the kind of man who is slow to take things in. But the definition is unsatisfactory since slowness to take things in does not define his behavior or speech. On the other hand, «Αναίσθητος» comes from the verb αισθάνομαι which means I sense (from the senses) or I feel (from emotions). The prefix α denotes reverse meaning and «Αναίσθητος» could be translated as a lack of appreciation.20 In Modern Greek this particular character has been named both «Αναίσθητος» (Anaisthetos)19 when translated from the original ancient Greek text and Βραδύνους (Obtuse) when translated from the Latin text.22 In our opinion, when we take into consideration Theophrastus's description, the term «Αναίσθητος», meaning lack of appreciation, is more appropriate than Βραδύνους, meaning slowness of mind. We believe that the description of this character is consistent with traits indicative of impulsivity, hyperactivity, and attentional and social-pragmatic deficits (table 1). Below we present the twelve statements describing traits corresponding to DSM-5 symptoms of ADHD and SPCD.

After a calculation with his counters and after computing the total asks the person sitting next to him 'What does it come to?'(i). When he has a lawsuit to defend and should be going to court, he forgets about it and goes into the country (ii). Those two statements may be considered inattentive symptoms. At the theatre, he is found asleep in his seat when the audience has left (iii). It is easy to fall asleep in the modern theatre when attention flags. But this man falls asleep on a stone bench and is not woken even by the noise and jostle of the departing audience. This symptom may be associated with somnolence. After a large supper, he is bitten by his neighbor's dog when he gets up and goes to the lavatory during the night (iv). When getting up from bed to go to the lavatory (somewhere outside his house), the dog bites him because he is clumsy enough to wake it up, probably by blundering about in the street outside.20 This statement indicates sleep and attention problems. He searches for some item that he has acquired and he is unable to find it, even though he stored it away himself (v). This is typical of inattention.

When a message arrives notifying him of the death of a friend and inviting him to the funeral, his face darkens and he bursts into tears and says "And the best of luck to him!" (vi). The phrase best luck to him is accidentally used because it is a phrase that expresses a wish for a good outcome of an action or a journey. It indicates verbally impulsive and inappropriate behavior, inconsistent with what would be socially correct. This statement indicates difficulties in social (pragmatic) communication.
Table 1. Theophrastus’s description of Character “Ἀναίσθητος” (Anaisthetos)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>«Ἀναίσθητος»</th>
<th>The Obtuse Man</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Εστι δε ἡ ἀναισθησία, ὡς ὃψιν εἰπεῖν, βραδύτης ψυχῆς ἐν λόγοις καὶ πράξεσιν, ὁ δὲ ἀναισθητος τοιοῦτος τις,</td>
<td>Obtuseness may be defined as slowness of mind in speech and action</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i ὁλος λογισάμενος ταῖς ψήφοις καὶ κεφαλαίοι ποιήσας ἐρωτάν τὸν παρακαθήμενον· Τί γίνεται;</td>
<td>after a calculation with his counters and after computing the total asks the person sitting next to him “What does it come to?”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ii καὶ δίκην φεύγων καὶ ταύτην εἰσιέναι μέλλων ἐπιλαθόμενος εἰς ἄγρον πορεύεσθαι.</td>
<td>Ancient Greeks and Romans calculated using small stones in an abacus.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iii καὶ θεωρῶν ἐν τῷ θεάτρῳ μόνος καταλείπεσθαι καθεδρῶν.</td>
<td>When he has a lawsuit to defend and should be going to court he forgets about it and goes into the country</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iv καὶ πολλὰ φαγὼν καὶ τῆς νυκτὸς ἐπὶ θάκου ἀνιστάμενος &lt;ἀποπλανώμενος&gt; ὑπὸ κυνός τῆς τοῦ γείτονος διχθήναι.</td>
<td>After a large supper he is bitten by his neighbor’s dog when he gets up and goes to the lavatory during the night</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v καὶ λαβὼν &lt;τι&gt; καὶ ἀποθεὶς αὐτός, τοῦτο ζητεῖν καὶ μὴ δύνασθαι εὑρεῖν.</td>
<td>He searches for some item that he has acquired and he is unable to find it, even though he stored it away himself</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vi καὶ ἀπαγγελλόντος αὐτῷ, ὅτι τετελεύτηκέ τις αὐτοῦ τῶν φίλων, ἵνα παραγένηται, σκυθρωπάσας καὶ δακρύσας εἰπεῖν· Ἀγαθῇ τύχῃ.</td>
<td>When a message arrives notifying him of the death of a friend and inviting him to the funeral, his face darkens and he bursts into tears and says “And the best of luck to him!”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vii δεινὸς δὲ καὶ ἀπολαμβάνων ἀργύριον ὑπὸ κυνός τῆς τοῦ γείτονος δηχθῆναι.</td>
<td>He is also apt to get witnesses to support him when he is taking repayment of money that is owed him</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>viii καὶ χειμῶνος ὄντος μάχεσθαι τῷ παιδί, ὅτι σικύους οὐκ ἠγόρασεν.</td>
<td>He is annoyed with his slave for not buying cucumbers during the winter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ix καὶ τὰ παιδία ἑαυτοῖς παλαίειν καὶ τροχάζειν [καὶ] εἰς κόπους ἐμβάλλειν.</td>
<td>He tires out his children by forcing them to wrestle and run races with him</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>x καὶ ἀν ὄρθι αὐτός φακὴν ἔψων δις ἄλας εἰς τὸν χύτραν ἐμβάλσαι τῷ ποίησαι.</td>
<td>In the country when he is boiling lentil soup he puts salt into the pan twice and makes it inedible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>xi καὶ θεωρῶν ἐν τῷ Δίως εἰπεῖν † ἡδύ γε τῶν ἀστρῶν νομίζει, ὅτι δὴ καὶ οἱ άλλοι λέγουσιν πίσις †</td>
<td>If it is raining he says “How sweetly the stars smell,” when everyone else says “the earth”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>xii καὶ λέγοντός τινος· Πόσους οἴει κατὰ τὰς Ἡριαίας [Meursius: Ἱερὰς] πύλας εξενηνέχθαι νεκροὺς; πρὸς τοῦτον εἰπεῖν· Ὑσσοὶ ἐμοὶ καὶ σοὶ γένοιντο.</td>
<td>This phrase is considered the most difficult according to Adamantios Korais and “locum desperatum” according to Casaubon because there is no effective translation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erian gates were in the eastern direction of Athens close to Keramikos cemetery</td>
<td>When someone remarks “You can’t imagine how many bodies have been taken out to the cemetery through the Erian Gates,” he answers “I wish you and I could have such a windfall”</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
He is also apt to get witnesses to support him when he is taking repayment of money which is owed him (vii). The payer needs proof that he has paid. But for the recipient to call witnesses needs no proof that he has been paid. He is annoyed with his slave for not buying cucumbers during the winter (viii). Both statements indicate problems with attention and poor social communication skills.

He tires out his children by forcing them to wrestle and run races with him (ix). According to Diggle it is a lack of appreciation to make them wrestle and run against himself: he takes no account of his greater strength. This statement may be attributed to hyperactivity and problems in social (pragmatic) communication.

In the country when he is boiling lentil soup he puts salt into the pan twice and makes it inedible (x). It is a statement for inattentive symptoms.

If it is raining, he says ‘How sweetly the stars smell’, when everyone else says ‘the earth’ (xi). When someone remarks ‘You can’t imagine how many bodies have been taken out to the cemetery through the Erian Gates’, he answers ‘I wish you and I could have such a windfall.’ (xii) These two statements indicate that «Αναίσθητος» after processing an input of a message responds impulsively and erroneously indicating impulsivity and social (pragmatic) communication deficits.

**Discussion**

The public behavior presented by Theophrastus in the portrait of “The Obtuse Man” may be considered as the first historical description of an adult person presenting co-occurrence of ADHD and SPCD. Both disorders are included in the DSM-5 Neurodevelopmental Disorders group condition. Traits for inattention are present in statements i, ii, iv, v, vi, vii, viii, and x, for hyperactivity in ix and impulsivity in vi, ix, and xii. Social communication problems are evident in statements vi, vii, ix, xi, and xii.

Our view is in line with Victor et al (2018) consideration that some of the communication problems are related to impulsivity or inattention, but we question his idea that they are caused by cognitive limitations. We propose instead, that they are attributable to SPCD, which is characterized by deficits in using language for social purposes, appropriately matching communication to the social context, and following rules of the communication context. According to DSM-5 the symptoms of SPCD are not better explained by ASD or ID and global developmental delay. The «Αναίσθητος» was an equal member of the Athenian Democracy with family and slaves, was able to calculate correctly and according to Theophrastus’ description does not present intellectual and adaptive functioning deficits in all domains; thus intellectual disability does not justify his pragmatic communication problems. Also, in Theophrastus’ text, there is no description of rigid, repetitive, and restrictive interests and behaviors that would be indicative of ASD while there are five statements that support the possible occurrence of an SPCD.

Since ID is not justified by the description, in the Modern Greek language the original name of the character «Αναίσθητος» which includes the meaning of lack of appreciation is preferred to the translation “Βραδύνους” (Obtuse) indicating the presence of low intellectual capacities.

Theophrastus is following the Peripatetic school methodology to lead to certain shared attributes in a realistic setting that is the urban Athens from 330 to 319 B.C. The primary objective was the collection and interpretation of information to raise and attempt the resolution of theoretical difficulties. To achieve this objective, the Peripatetic method involved establishing a line of inquiry, amassing all the relevant evidence, examining the views of others in line with that evidence, and agreeing or disagreeing openly by showing weaknesses in logic and reasoning. Recently, its has been argued that the Characters can no longer be dismissed as a curiously lopsided offshoot of Aristotle’s ethical philosophy or as the comic jottings of an inferior philosophical mind. It is an innovative vibrant and highly influential work that merits its unique contribution to the fields of ethics, comedy, and rhetoric. Centuries after his initial writing, Theophrastus’ observations about human behavior still resonate with the audience and still give rise to humor. The “errors” of the character type are the inappropriate form of speech and conduct that unconsciously engage in. By giving a clear illustration of poor conduct, inappropriate speech, behavioral follies, bad timing, and misjudgments, Theophrastus underscores the importance of appropriate and well-timed social behavior.

The peripatetic school adopted the Socratic school of philosophers attributing minor psychiatric disorders or traits to the lack of rational control over appetites and behavior. It may be supported that Theophrastus’ text has been adopted all these years because it leads to the recognition of inappropriate social behavior. Increasing self-awareness was then the only help offered to persons presenting with the portraits that the characters describe. In modern psychiatry, though, Neurodevelopmental Disorders such as ADHD can be appropriately and effectively treated. The treatment of these disorders in affected individuals not only leads to better clinical care but also may diminish stigmatization.

A limitation of the study is that ancient texts are by their nature difficult in their interpretation of psycho-
logical traits. It is noteworthy though, that centuries after his initial writing, Theophrastus’ observations about human behavior still resonate with the audience, and each character is representative of a type that can be differentiated by their distinctive appearance, attributes, manner of speech, and modes of behavior. Another limitation is that the text does not provide us with information on the continuous persistence of the symptoms from childhood as one should have to give a neurodevelopmental diagnosis in adulthood.

In conclusion, we support the notion that the «Αναίσθητος» (Obtuse Man) as described by Theophrastus is the earliest description of neurodevelopmental symptoms corresponding to the co-occurrence of ADHD and SPCD and that in Modern Greek vocabulary the original term «Αναίσθητος» (Anaisthetos) instead of “Βραδύνους” (Obtuse) is more accurate for this particular character. The co-existence of these two disorders in a character in such a different historical context reinforces their validity as diagnostic constructs and provides an example of the co-occurrence of two NDs.
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ΠΕΡΙΛΗΨΗ

Ο Θεόφραστος (381–278 π.Χ.), χρησιμοποίησε πρώτος τον όρο χαρακτήρα για την περιγραφή εσωτερικών, ψυχικών ή ηθικών
dιακριτών γνωρισμάτων των ατόμων. Στο έργο του «Χαρακτήρες» με οξυδέρκεια, ακριβή διατύπωση και απλό, απέριττο ύ-
φος πραγματοποιεί λεπτή ψυχολογική ανάλυση ατόμων της εποχής του. Ο χαρακτήρας «Αναίσθητος» έχει μεταφραστεί στην
αγγλική γλώσσα ως «Βραδύνους» (“The Obtuse Man”) και στη σύγχρονη ελληνική γλώσσα είτε ως «Αναίσθητος» δηλώνοντας
έλλειμμα στην κατανόηση είτε ως «Βραδύνους» δηλώνοντας χαμηλή νοητική λειτουργία. Η περιγραφή του Θεόφραστου στον
συγκεκριμένο χαρακτήρα είναι συμβατή με τη συνύπαρξη σε ενήλικο άτομο δύο νευροαναπτυξιακών διαταραχών: της διατα-
ραχής ελλειμματικής προσοχής υπερκινητικότητας (ΔΕΠΥ) και της διαταραχής κοινωνικής πραγματολογίας (ΔΚΠ) σύμφωνα με
τη 5η Έκδοση του Διαγνωστικού Ταξινομικού Συστήματος για τις Ψυχικές Διαταραχές (DSM-5). Δέκα από τις δώδεκα περιγρα-
φές αντιστοιχούν σε συμπτώματα για τη ΔΕΠΥ ενώ σε πέντε περιγραφές αντικατοπτρίζονται συμπτώματα της ΔΚΠ. Θεωρούμε
ότι η συνολική περιγραφή του χαρακτήρα δεν δικαιολογεί τη διάγνωση της νοητικής υστέρησης. Η απόδοση επομένως στην
σύγχρονη ελληνική γλώσσα του χαρακτήρα ως «Αναίσθητος» που δηλώνει έλλειμμα στην κατανόηση αντιστοιχεί ακριβέστε-
ρα στην έννοια που περιγράφεται συγκριτικά με τον όρο «Βραδύνους» ο οποίος παραπέμπει σε χαμηλή νοητική λειτουργία.
Επίσης, διάγνωση της διαταραχής του φάσματος του αυτισμού αποκλείεται διότι δεν περιγράφονται στερεότυπα και επανα-
ληπτικά ενδιαφέροντα και συμπεριφορές. Σύμφωνα με τις αρχές της Περιπατητικής Σχολής οι ήσσονες ψυχικές διαταραχές και
tα χαρακτηριστικά οφείλονται σε αδυναμία ελέγχου του λογικού ελέγχου στις επιθυμίες και τη συμπεριφορά. Στο πλαίσιο αυ-
τό η φαινομενολογική περιγραφή του «Αναίσθητου» στιγματίζει την ακατάλληλη κοινωνική συμπεριφορά και η μόνη βοήθεια
που δίνεται είναι να αυξάνει την αυτεπίγνωση. Στη σύγχρονη κλινική πρακτική όμως, η πρώιμη αναγνώριση και αντιμετώπιση
των νευροαναπτυξιακών διαταραχών στα πάσχοντα άτομα έχει ως αποτέλεσμα την καλύτερη κλινική φροντίδα και μπορεί να
μειώσει τον στιγματισμό. Η ταυτόχρονη περιγραφή των δύο αυτών διαταραχών σε ένα τόσο διαφορετικό ιστορικό πλαίσιο
ενισχύει την εγκυρότητά τους ως διαγνωστικές κατασκευές και αποτελεί χαρακτηριστικό παράδειγμα συνύπαρξης δύο νευ-
ροαναπτυξιακών διαταραχών.

ΛΕΞΕΙΣ ΕΥΡΕΤΗΡΙΟΥ: Νευροαναπτυξιακές διαταραχές, διαταραχή ελλειμματικής προσοχής υπερκινητικότητας ενηλίκων, διατα-
ραχή κοινωνικής πραγματολογίας, Θεόφραστος, «Βραδύνους», «Αναίσθητος».